

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

MICHAEL DEAN ADKISSON,

Case No. 2:14-cv-01934-APG-CWH

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

D.W. NEVEN, et al.,

Respondents.

This is a habeas petition filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Before the court is respondent's motion for a more definite statement with respect to petitioner Michael Dean Adkisson's counseled, second amended petition (ECF No. 31). Respondents mainly argue that the grounds are not sufficiently pleaded and do not sufficiently cite to United States Supreme Court law. Adkisson opposed the motion (ECF No. 32), and the respondents filed a reply (ECF No. 33). Having reviewed the parties' arguments and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the respondents' motion for a more definite statement (ECF No. 31) is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the respondents shall answer or otherwise respond to the second amended petition as provided in this court's order dated July 6, 2015 (ECF No. 14).

1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that petitioner's first and second motions for
2 extension of time to file a second amended petition (ECF Nos. 26 and 27) are both
3 **GRANTED** *nunc pro tunc*.

4
5 Dated: May 3, 2016.

6
7 
8 _____
9 ANDREW P. GORDON
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28